


THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
Washington, D. C.

The University Senate

April 3, 1969

The University Senate will meet on Friday, April 11, 1969, at 2:10 p.m., in the Faculty Conference Room on the sixth floor of the Library.

- Agenda:
- 1) Call to order.
 - 2) Minutes of previous meeting.
 - 3) Announcements by the Chairman of the Executive Committee.
 - 4) Informational Report on new George Washington University Clinic, Dr. Richard Castell.
 - 5) Report of Nominating Committee and election of Executive Committee for 1969-70 Session.
 - 6) Submission of Standing Committee Reports.
 - 7) A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THAT THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY WITHDRAW FROM THE SOUTHERN CONFERENCE (69/6), ^{68/16} Committee on Athletics.
 - 8) A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON ATHLETICS PERTAINING TO THE NCAA RULE ON MANIFEST DISOBEDIENCE (69/7), ^{68/17} Committee on Athletics.
 - 9) A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A SCHOOL OF FINE ARTS (69/8), ^{68/18} Committee on Educational Policy.
 - 10) Period for brief statements by members of the Senate and by visitors.
 - 11) Adjournment.


Frederick R. Houser
Secretary

FRH:vk

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
Washington, D. C.

The University Senate met on Friday, March 14, 1969, at 2:10 p.m., in the Faculty Conference Room, on the sixth floor of the Library. President Elliott presided.

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved as distributed.

Professor Wood, Chairman of the Executive Committee, reported on the concurrence of the Committee in the appointment of Mr. Carl J. Lange as Assistant Vice President for Research.

The appointment of a joint sub-committee on Black Studies by the Senate Committee on University Objectives and the Educational Policy Committee, was announced by Professor Wood. The members are shown below:

C. C. Mondale, Chairman	J. A. Morgan
Jacqueline Blackwell (student)	J. S. Redding
Jean Cahn	Robert Rosenfeld (student)
W. B. Griffith	Daniel Sinick
P. P. Hill	A. J. Zuchelli

Student consultants to the sub-committee are Elizabeth Bowie, Michael Brooks, Bruce Smith, and William Trent.

After its first meeting on March 13, Professor Wood said, there was a general feeling of constructive thinking by the group, and he felt that Professor Mondale would be an effective chairman of the committee.

Professor Wood also announced the adoption of a Legislative Form by the Student Assembly, which is an official notice of action by the Student Assembly, sent for action or for information to University officers or organizations. He added that he felt it might be well for the Senate to adopt a comparable thing.

President Elliott suggested a change in the order of business so that item #7 of the agenda could be placed before the Senate for action at this point and Professor Pock, Chairman of the Committee on Physical Facilities, presented A PROPOSED RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE UNIVERSITY BUILDING AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM (69/4), and moved, seconded by Professor Desmond, its adoption. In the discussion that followed questions were raised concerning the wording of the fifth line in paragraph 2. It was agreed by Professor Pock that the plural "systems" could be changed to the singular "system" and the word "technology" could be deleted in this paragraph. The question was called on the Resolution as amended, and it was passed unanimously. A copy of the Resolution is appended.

Professor Perros requested a waiver of the rules of order so that he could make a brief statement before being excused from the meeting. He reported that he had attended the Student-Faculty meeting at Airlie recently and that he was "quite impressed" with both the President and Vice President of the Student Assembly and he wished them well in the coming year. Moreover, he would be "more than happy to cooperate with them to get their job done."

As provided for in item #4 of the agenda, Professor Wood placed in

nomination the following persons as a committee to nominate an Executive Committee for the 1969-70 session of the Senate:

Thomas M. Brown, Sch. of Medicine
Robert E. Cronin, SEAS
Alton H. Desmond, GSAS
Hugh L. LeBlanc, SPIA
Howard M. Merriman, Col. Col.
Robert Park, Nat. Law Center
Carol R. St. Cyr, Sch. of Educ.
Karl E. Stromsem, SGBA
Robert C. Willson, Col. Col.

There were no further nominations from the floor and the above slate was elected unanimously.

Item #5 of the agenda was not carried out as the Student Assembly President had not decided upon the students to be nominated for membership on the Senate's Athletics Committee and the Student Relationships Committee.

Professor Weaver reported on the status of the plans for the Faculty Club in the new University Center. A letter, which was to be sent immediately to all members of the faculty and to the administrative officers of the University, was read by Professor Weaver, after which he mentioned that he been informed that the Medical School faculty had shown a great deal of interest in the Club.

Professor Kramer reported that at a meeting of the Medical School faculty at which a hundred members were present, ninety members expressed an interest in joining the Club by a show of hands.

Professor Zuchelli presented A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH AN ACADEMIC JOURNAL (69/5). In presenting the rationale for establishing an Academic Journal, Professor Zuchelli said the need for a publication to present viewpoints of the University as a whole was evident to him during the day of dialogue last fall. He discussed the idea with faculty and students at that time and later at the Airlie conference. The response in both instances, he said, was "overwhelming." Further discussions with Vice President Smith, Professor Hill and Mr. Winkler, he said, elicited positive responses.

The Academic Journal, as he envisioned it, would be similar in format to Time Magazine; five to six thousand copies would be published eight times a year between October and May; and the cost would be from \$8,500 to \$12,000 yearly.

Professor Zuchelli said that the Committee on Educational Policy had reacted favorably to the proposal and chose to present the Resolution before the Senate today. He then moved, and Professor Hill seconded, to adopt the Resolution. Professor Zuchelli then requested the privilege of the floor for Mr. Rosenfeld, Chairman of the Student Assembly Academic Committee, who spoke in favor of the publication, saying that he felt there was a need for information on what committees are doing and for a forum for ideas and viewpoints to be expressed.

During the discussion that followed questions were raised concerning

where the magazine would be housed; the matter of budgeting; whether charges would be made for the magazine; whether advertising had been considered; and what would be the term of office of the editors. Further discussion on the latter question ensued and Professor Wood moved, seconded by Professor Hill, an amendment to assign annual terms to the editors. The motion to amend the Resolution by the insertion of "annual" passed unanimously.

Professor Sharpe moved, seconded by Professor Brewer, that the name of the magazine be changed to the "Academic Forum" and the motion to amend was passed. The question was then called on the original motion and Resolution 69/5 as amended, was passed unanimously. A copy of the Resolution is appended.

During the period provided for brief comments by Senate members and guests, Mr. Neil Portnow, President of the Student Assembly, expressed his appreciation for the reception which was held before today's meeting. The Assembly, he said, seemed to be doing "very well." He continued that students should speak with an educated viewpoint, with power of argument rather than any other kind of power. In closing, Mr. Portnow added, "we hope that cooperation will continue to exist in the coming year."

Professor Hill announced that the University Objectives Committee would meet on Monday, March 17. The Committee, he said, would need a lot of input of ideas as to what the Senate members felt the University's objectives should be.

Professor Wood referred to Professor Jones' statement as quoted in the minutes of the February 14, 1969, meeting, in paragraph 9 of the first page, concerning the recruiting of inner city students. Professor Wood stated that he did not feel the program was intended to be restricted to black students, and he suggested also the possible illegality of such a program if it should be restricted to black students. He said that he wished to go on record as feeling this program should, as its title suggests, be for all severely disadvantaged students regardless of their background.

Professor Brewer supported Professor Wood's comments, saying that he had spoken along the same lines when the matter was brought up. He pointed out that during the same time, Professor Alpert had expressed similar views.

In reply Professor Jones stated that he had responded in the previous meeting to a question about the committee's intention in proposing a tuition remission program. The rationale, he said, is that this is a white institution in which the committee wishes to increase the number of black students at this University. This rationale has been attacked as racist. He felt that to suggest that the intention was racist "does not quite come to the point." He said that the program has been termed "discrimination in reverse," a term which suggested to him too narrow a view of the concept of equality, a "view which would imply that negroes and whites could be viewed as starting from the same conditions." In this time and in this year, he said, an "equality of considerations" might require preferential treatment of victims of discrimination. Thus, while the committee had agreed to eliminate the term "black students" from the program, the intent was to increase the number of black students at this University, and he would have to stand on this proposition. As to the legality of the matter, Professor Jones questioned whether

a Black Scholarship Fund, proposed by the committee, in which Federal funds would not be required would be a violation of guidelines regarding Federal assistance.

Dr. Bright stated that the Federal Government would remove all Federal funds when there was evidence of the practice of discrimination.


The possibility of whether a white student would be allowed a tuition remission grant was raised by Professor Wood and Professor Jones replied that there were no guidelines of the committee that would exclude a white student.

Professor Brewer stressed that the ultimate problem now is what position the Senate should express at present in this matter. He said that he was sorry to have been unable to be present during the first few minutes of the meeting when the minutes had been approved. Professor Wood then moved that the minutes be reopened for amendment. After an embarrassing silence Professor Wood moved, seconded by Professor Brewer that the minutes be accepted as approved. After some discussion Professor Wood withdrew his motion.

Professor Stevens then moved that the minutes be amended to insert the phrase "of the committee" after the word intention. Professor Zuchelli seconded and the motion to amend the ninth paragraph of the minutes of the February 14, 1969, meeting was passed. The amended paragraph appears as follows:

"In response to Professor Wood's question of whether the program was limited to black students, Professor Jones replied that it was his understanding that the intention of the committee was to support a program to recruit inner-city negro students."

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:48 p.m.


Frederick R. Houser
Secretary

A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH
AN ACADEMIC JOURNAL (69/5)

Whereas, the definition and evaluation of objectives, policy, problems and activities within the university demands a full and widely established perception of views, opinions and facts pertaining thereto, and

Whereas, the effective presentation of such views, opinions and facts cannot be the result of casual and unprepared responses, and

Whereas, the interests of the institution would be served by a vehicle for considered and careful presentation of such views, opinions and facts, and

Whereas, such a vehicle of communication does not now function in the university community, now

Be it Therefore Resolved that the University Senate of the George Washington University recommends and urges the establishment of a regularly published journal devoted to problems, objectives, policy and activities associated with the institution. In particular it is recommended that:

- 1). this journal should be known as "The Academic Forum";
- 2). this journal should be published monthly from October to May;
- 3). solicitation, selection and complete control over the content of "The Academic Forum" should be delegated to an Editorial Board composed of three faculty members selected annually by vote of the University Senate and three students selected annually by vote of the Student Assembly;
- 4). "The Academic Forum" should be a quality, printed journal, properly proofed, reflecting accurately the views of its contributors; the journal should not take an editorial position nor should the editorial board use it as a vehicle of their collective views; while individuals on the editorial board may contribute to the content of the journal, these contributions should be evaluated as contributions from a member of the university community at large;
- 5). as a procedure, it is expected that the Editorial Board will solicit contributions, with reasonably specified topical specification and submission deadline commensurate with the desired standards of careful and considered presentation, to members of the faculty, administration and students, and the University Senate recommends to all members of the academic community a responsive attitude to such solicitations;
- 6). initial publication of "The Academic Forum" should be scheduled at as early a date as practicalities allow.

Educational Policy Committee

March 14, 1969

passed by the University Senate 3/14/69

PROPOSED RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE
UNIVERSITY BUILDING AND MAINTENANCE
PROGRAM (68/4)

Whereas, this University is confronted with an ever mounting shortage of physical facilities; and

Whereas, a responsive and adequate building and maintenance program requires, as a prerequisite to planning and financing, accurate and up to date information concerning resources and needs;

Now, Therefore, be it Resolved by the Senate of the George Washington University:

1. That the University Senate recommend to the Administration and the Board of Trustees that they add to their building program for the immediate future a Science Building, a facility for which the needs are deemed to be critical and deserving of utmost consideration.
2. That the University Senate recommend to the Administration that it expand and make more comprehensive its present system for measuring and reporting the resources of this University with a view to perfecting a computerized information system in accordance with national reporting norms for the purpose of
 - a. planning and allocation of priorities and resources,
 - b. furnishing data that permit of meaningful comparisons between educational institutions, and
 - c. facilitating the completion of proposals for grants and other forms of funding support.

Physical Facilities Committee
March 14, 1969
Passed by the Senate 3/14/69